UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,728	01/11/2001	Elliot Schwartz	5168P001	2453
40418 HEIMLICH LA	7590 11/29/2007 AW		EXAM	INER
5952 DIAL WAY			MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
SAN JOSE, CA 95129			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

alanheimlich@heimlichlaw.com sroberts@peloquinlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/759,728	SCHWARTZ, ELLIOT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	<u>ugust 2007</u> .	,			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1,14,22 and 26 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2-13,15-21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate. <u>see attachment</u> .			

09/759,728

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the appeal brief filed on 15 August 2007.

2. Claims 1-26 are pending in the application.

3. Claims 2-13, 15-21 and 23-25 have been allowed.

4. Claims 1, 14, 22 and 26 have been allowed.

## Response to Arguments

5. In view of the appeal brief filed on 15 August 2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 2-13, 15, 16, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 15 and 23 recites the limitation "the group consisting of..." in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Any claims not directly addressed are rejected on the virtue of their dependency.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 17-21 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baba U.S. Patent No. 7,051,369 B1.

As to claim 17, Baba discloses a firewall traversal system comprising:

a main system coupled to storage [column 12, lines 31-36];

a communication subsystem coupled to the main system and a communication medium on one side of a firewall [column 12, lines 31-36];

Art Unit: 2131

a packet examining subsystem coupled to the communication subsystem [column 12, lines 37-48]; and

a database system coupled to the packet examining subsystem and the main system [column 13, lines 1-15].

As to claim 18, Baba discloses that the packet examining subsystem extracts port information [column 13, lines 24-38].

As to claim 19, Baba discloses that the packet examining subsystem extracts the port information based upon examining packet data content [column 13, lines 24-38].

As to claim 20, Baba discloses that the packet examining subsystem extracts address information [column 13, lines 24-38].

As to claim 21, Baba discloses that the packet examining subsystem extracts the address information based upon examining packet data content [column 13, lines 24-38].

### Allowable Subject Matter

### 8. Claims 1, 14, 22 and 26 are allowed.

Independent claims 1, 14 and 22 are directed towards a method and apparatus for traversing a firewall. The closest prior art to the claims was Vellanki et al U.S. Patent No. 5,999,979 (hereinafter Vellanki). Vellanki discloses simultaneously employing multiple threads, through multiple connections, to initiate communication with the server computer. The applicant's claimed limitation has a second or third connection initiated only if the prior one fails. Thus there is a fundamental difference between what the applicant has claimed and what Vellanki discloses. The applicant's method is sequential whereas Vellanki's method is in parallel.

Application/Control Number:

09/759,728

Art Unit: 2131

Any claims not directly addressed are allowed on the virtue of their dependency.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aravind K Moorthy November 20, 2007

AYAZ SHEIKH IDERVISARY PATENT EYAMII

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100